

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

803-478-2286

803-485-2325

803-478-8711

GOOD

ND

_	
PERFORMANCE TRENDS	OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Excellent	No
2004			

### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

**NOTE:** Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	28	79	51				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	96.3%	89.6%	88.0%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environ	nment 96.2%	93.6%	70.2%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	51.9%	93.4%	85.1%				

PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	OUP							
		15.10		/ ¿ic		ant	/ <sub>&amp;</sub>	and	
	/200	ent lestin	. sted /	MB88	azic /	- oficie.	wance (	cient ancer	
	Enroll	9401 0/s	lested old	510,	Basic of	Proficient of	Advanced Profi	cientand Advanced	
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP    Constitution   Constit									
All students	83	98.8	17.1	42.1	36.8	3.9	40.8	17.6	
Gender									
Male	35	97.1	12.1	51.5	36.4	N/A	36.4	17.6	
Female	48	100.0	20.9	34.9	37.2	7.0	44.2	17.6	
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6	
African-American	76	98.7	18.3	43.7	33.8	4.2	38.0	17.6	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6	
Hispanic	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6	
Disability Status									
Not disabled	70	98.6	14.1	39.1	42.2	4.7	46.9	17.6	
Disabled	13	100.0	33.3	58.3	8.3	N/A	8.3	17.6	
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6	
Non-migrant	83	98.8	17.1	42.1	36.8	3.9	40.8	17.6	
English Proficiency									
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6	
Non-limited English proficient	83	98.8	17.1	42.1	36.8	3.9	40.8	17.6	
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	79	100.0	17.8	42.5	35.6	4.1	39.7	17.6	
Full-pay meals	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6	
				Mathe	matics				
All students	83	100.0	28.9	51.3	17.1	2.6	19.7	15.5	
Gender									
Male	35	100.0	30.3	51.5	15.2	3.0	18.2	15.5	
Female	48	100.0	27.9	51.2	18.6	2.3	20.9	15.5	
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5	
African-American	76	100.0	29.6	53.5	14.1	2.8	16.9	15.5	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5	
Hispanic	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5	
Disability Status									
Not disabled	70	100.0	23.4	53.1	20.3	3.1	23.4	15.5	
Disabled	13	100.0	58.3	41.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5	
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5	
Non-migrant	83	100.0	28.9	51.3	17.1	2.6	19.7	15.5	
English Proficiency									
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5	
Non-limited English proficient	83	100.0	28.9	51.3	17.1	2.6	19.7	15.5	
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	79	100.0	30.1	52.1	16.4	1.4	17.8	15.5	
Full-pay meals	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5	

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

PAC	PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL								
		Enrolle	ay of Testing	lested old the	alou Basic	Basic ol	Proficient old	Advanced Advanced	tient and Advanced
		<u> </u>		Englis	n/Langua	ge Arts			
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Grade 3	84	N/A	28.4	54.3	17.3	N/A	17.3	
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 3	83	98.8	17.1	42.1	36.8	3.9	40.8	
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

				M	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	84	N/A	38.3	51.9	8.6	1.2	9.9
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	83	100.0	28.9	51.3	17.1	2.6	19.7
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 318)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	2.5%	2.4%
Attendance rate	95.1%	Down from 96.1%	95.6%	95.9%
Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	9.8%	Up from 6.8%	4.7%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	5.0%	Down from 5.5%	8.2%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.3%	Up from 0.3%	3.2%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 28)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	32.1%	Up from 28.9%	47.9%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	67.9%	Up from 65.8%	77.9%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	r 81.9%	Down from 87.8%	79.6%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	94.2%	Down from 95.1%	95.3%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$36,342	Up 4.4%	\$37,991	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	19.7 days	Up from 5.0 days	13.0 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 6.0	3.5	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	17.1 to 1	Up from 12.2 to 1	16.7 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	87.6%	Down from 89.0%	89.1%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$7,352	Up 8.2%	\$7,019	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	59.0%	Up from 58.6%	63.2%	66.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	Down from Good	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	92.9%	Up from 53.1%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	no	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	2	Mississ	Data
Appreviations	IOL	wiissina	บลเล

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

St. Paul Primary School is striving to broaden the academic performance of students by providing research-based practices that ensure quality and developmentally appropriate instruction. In the school's second year of implementation of the SC READS grant, the instructional staff are participating in on-going professional development designed to improve reading. As a result, the teacher's knowledge base of language and literacy development and the best instructional strategies for helping children learn to read are now greatly enhanced. Reading and writing skills improved utilizing these effective teaching practices while providing a classroom environment that encourages every child to engage in learning.

As we focus on the coming school year, staff development in best practices in all content areas will continue to ensure that St. Paul meets the mandates of "No Child Left Behind". St. Paul Primary's faculty and staff are committed to their mission of providing the students a world-class education in a safe and nurturing environment.

Patricia R. Middleton Principal

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.